**United Nations Development Programme**

**Country: Kyrgyz Republic**

**Work Plan for the period of July 1, 2020-June 31,2021**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Title** | **Kyrgyzstan Integrated National Financing Framework (K-INFF)** |
| **UNDAF/CP Outcome(s):**  | **Outcome 1:** By 2022, inclusive and sustainable industrial, agricultural and rural development contribute to economic growth, decent work, improved livelihoods, food security and nutrition, especially among women and vulnerable groups**Outcome 4:**  By 2022, social protection, health and education systems are more effective and inclusive, and provide quality services. |
| **CPD Output(s):** | **Output 1.1.** Policy frameworks and institutional mechanisms enabled at the national and subnational levels for sustainable, resilient, inclusive and gender-responsive economic growth**Output 4.3.** Education system is capable to provide safe environment, quality, inclusive learning and development for all. |
| **Expected Project Output(s):**  | **Output 1 :** Kyrgyzstan has stronger evidence for an improved policy framework for public and private finance to deliver in NDS/SDGs.**Output 2:** Kyrgyzstan has an improved strategic planning and financing system to support NDS/SDGs implementation.**Output 3:** Kyrgyzstan has improved monitoring and oversight for NDS/SDG implementation |
| **Implementing Partners:** | UNDP (Lead)UNICEF (PUNO) |
| **National Partners:** | Office of the PresidentOffice of the GovernmentMinistry of Economy, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Education and Science |
| **Brief Description**As part of the Joint Programme (JP) funded by the UN SDG joint fund, the project aims at creating a holistic, comprehensive and integrated financing strategy, or Integrated National Financing Framework (INFF), with the ambition to improve the efficiency, effectiveness and transparency in the use of public funds and governance of private finance to support the implementation of Kyrgyzstan’s National Development Strategy (NDS) and the SDGs. The JP is articulated in three sequenced blocks or phases, which combine a range of complementary activities supporting the formulation of concrete policy recommendations for financing. By the end of its implementation, the government of Kyrgyzstan will a) have an improved policy framework for public and private finance to deliver on the NDs and the SDGs, b) have an improved strategic planning and budgeting administrative system to finance the NDS and the SDGs, and c) have improved monitoring and oversight for the implementation of the NDS and the SDGs. The creation of a holistic financing strategy will mainstream and align the SDGs across planning, budgeting and financing processes in Kyrgyzstan.  |
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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title of the project:** | **Kyrgyzstan Integrated National Financing Framework (K-INFF)** |
| **Date:** | **July 1, 2020 – June 31, 2021** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **EXPECTED OUTPUTS***And baseline, associated indicators and annual targets* | **PLANNED ACTIVITIES***List activity results and associated actions* | **TIMEFRAME** | **RESPONSIBLE PARTY** | **PLANNED BUDGET** |   |
| 2020 | 2021 |  | **Funding Source** | **Budget description** | **Amount (USD)** |
| Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |  |  |  |  |
| **Output 1:** Kyrgyzstan has stronger evidence for an improved policy framework for public and private finance to deliver in National Development Strategies (NDS)/Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).**Baseline:** * 1. NDS/SDG costing methodology is not available.
	2. 2019 IMF report identified several ways to create fiscal space. There is no indication the Government produced Fiscal Space for Development Needs analysis.
	3. The government approved Procedure for assessing the effectiveness of tax incentives (Government resolution # 646 on 8 Dec 2016). Effectiveness criteria does not contain any reference to SDGs.
	4. Initiation of the digital system of e-fiscalisation of tax administration, developing institutional legislative base and introducing technical and innovative solutions required for its realization.
	5. Budget planning documents of the Ministry of Education and Science do not consider identified efficiency gains to achieve SDG 4 and NDS Priority I

**Indicators:** * 1. NDS/SDG costing methodology is in place. DFA report is completed.
	2. Fiscal space analysis implemented done for at least one sector
	3. Tax incentives effectiveness report
	4. VAT E-fiscalization implementation plan is drafted
	5. Study on efficiency of public sector spending in one of social sector

**Targets:** * 1. Methodology of SDG costing developed for one of the sectors, applying Gender tagging. Development Finance Assessment (DFA) reports covering gender gaps submitted to the government.
	2. Fiscal space analysis implemented done for at least one sector (considering gender inequalities and gender gaps and disability issues
	3. Tax incentives effectiveness report submitted to the government, taking into account gender and social inclusion issues
	4. VAT E-fiscalization implementation plan submitted to the relevant fiscal authorities
	5. Study on efficiency of public sector spending in one of social sectors conducted by disaggregated by gender and disability
 | **Activity Result 1.1** **State institutions have the capacity to align their sectoral budgets with MTFF/MTEF and NDS/SDGs through integrated gender sensitive budgeting.**  |
| Complete a Development Finance Assessment (DFA), which includes an analysis on financing flows in 2-3 regions (Oblasts). |  |  | X | X | Office of the President\Office of the Government, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Labour and Social Development, Ministry of Education and Science | UN SDG fund (UNDP)UNICEF co-funding | International, national IC | 63,905 (UNDP)9,000 (UNICEF)45,000 (UNICEF co-funding) |
| Conduct a NDS/SDG costing analysis that is tailored and built into the national planning and financing process. |  |  | X | X |
| Study on efficiency of public sector spending in one of social sectors conducted disaggregated by gender and disability |  | X | X |  | UN SDG fund (UNICEF) | International/national IC | 45,000 (UNICEF) |
|  **Sub-total:** | **162,905** |
| **Activity Result 1.2 State institutions have evidence on opportunities to mobilise resources for NDS/SDGs.** |
| Conduct a fiscal space analysis for at least one sector |  |  | X | X | Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Economy, IMF, World Bank and SECO | UN SDG fund  |  | 14,000 (UNDP) |
| Conduct a review on the effectiveness of tax incentives for NDS/SDG financing. |  |  | X | X | UN SDG fund | International/national IC |  13,714 (UNDP) |
| Review current e-government practises related to public revenue and spending, and support digitalisation and e-taxation efforts to increase public revenue generation |  |  | X | X | UNDP co-funding | International/national IC/workshop/translation/travel |  13,500 (UNDP co-funding) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sub total: | **41,214** |
|  | **TOTAL by OUTPUT 1** | **204,119**UN SDG fund 145,619UNDP: 91,619UNICEF: 54,000UNDP co-funding 13,500UNICEF co-funding: 45,000  |
|  |
| Output 2: Kyrgyzstan has an improved strategic planning and financing system to support NDS/SDGs implementation.Baseline: * 1. Revenue and Expenditure Analysis is developed by the Ministry of Finance (MoF) as part of annual Budget Execution Report does not have any reference to the SDG targets.
	2. There is no methodological document that address the issue of improving the effectiveness of expenditures through the interlinkages of state policies determined by strategic development programs and sectoral budget strategies.
	3. There is no sufficient alignment between NDS/SDGs, the Medium-term Forecast of Socio-Economic Development and Medium-Term Financial Framework (MTFF) in the regulatory normative acts.
	4. NDS does not have a section that considers financing resources needed for its implementation. It contains however two annexes with investment projects that either have confirmed funding or not.
	5. Weak enabling environment for innovative finance.
	6. No systematic approach to monitoring tax incentives.
	7. There is no model to scale PPP in the area of education.

**Indicators:** * 1. One report on Revenue and Expenditure Analysis through NDS/SDG lenses.
	2. Submission of recommendations on NDS/SDG targets and sector strategies integration into Mid-Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF) and budget processes and documents.
	3. Identification of bottlenecks which prevent alignment between NDS/SDGs, the Medium-term Forecast of Socio-Economic Development and MTF.
	4. Number of capacity building training on financing development strategy methodology for relevant target groups, taking into account gender.
	5. Number of research and recommendations on enabling environment for innovative finance.
	6. Government-agreed recommendations for adapting systems for monitoring and managing tax incentives.
	7. One feasibility study with a scalable model of Public-Private Partnership (PPP), taking into account gender and social inclusion in education with implementation package.

**Targets:*** 1. Revenue and Expenditure Analysis through NDS/SDG lenses report submitted to the relevant fiscal authorities, taking into account gender, social inclusion (G&SI) and climate issues.
	2. Recommendation to integrate NDS/SDG targets and sector strategies, taking into account gender sensitive budget, social inclusion and climate, into MTEF and budget processes and documents submitted to the relevant authorities.
	3. Bottlenecks which prevent alignment between NDS/SDGs, the Medium-term Forecast of Socio-Economic Development and MTFF are identified.
	4. Capacity building training on financing development strategy methodology for relevant government officials provided, taking into account gender aspects.
	5. Research and recommendations on enabling environment for innovative finance.
	6. Recommendations for adapting systems for monitoring and managing tax incentives agreed with the Government.
	7. Feasibility study with a scalable model of PPP, taking into account gender and social inclusion in education with implementation package is prepared.
 | Activity Result 2.1 State institutions have the capacity to align their sectoral budgets with MTFF/MTEF and NDS/SDGs through integrated gender sensitive budgeting. |
| Conduct a Revenue and Expenditure Analysis to link budget with NDS/SDGs. |  |  | X | X | The Office of the Government, Ministry of Finance, the National Bank, Ministry of Education, Social Fund | UN SDG fund | International, national ICs, translation/events | 22,593 (UNDP)9,000 (UNICEF) |
| Integrate NDS/SDG targets and sectoral strategies into MTFF and budget processes and documents, taking into account gender, social inclusion and climate. |  |  | X | X | UN SDG fundUNICEFUNDP co-funding |  International, national ICs, translation/events | 17,693 (UNDP)27,200 (UNICEF)7,500 (UNDP co-funding)  |
| **Activity Result 2.2 State institutions have the capacity to formulate a financing strategy based on new financing modalities and aligned with NDS/SDGs.** |
| Develop a national financing strategy aligned with NDS/SDGs. |  |  | X | X | The Government of the Kyrgyz Republic, the National Bank, Ministry of Education, Social Fund | UN SDG fund |  | 26,728 (UNDP)9,000 (UNICEF**)** |
| Develop recommendations to create an enabling environment for innovative finance with a focus on remittances. |  |  | X | X | UN SDG fund |  | 18,608 (UNDP) |
| Support the government to develop systems for monitoring and managing the use and impact of tax incentives as a mechanism for promoting NDS-aligned private sector investment |  |  | X | X | UN SDG fund |  | 18,372 (UNDP) |
| Support the government in improving the normative and governance framework for PPPs and in developing a scalable model and implementation package for PPPs in the education sector. |  |  | X | X | The Office of the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Finance, Development Partners' Coordination Council | UN SDG fund/UNICEF |  | 9,000 (UNICEF) |
|  | **TOTAL by OUTPUT 2:** | **165,694**UN SDG fund 158,194, including UNDP: 103,994UNICEF: 54,200 UNDP co-funding 7,500 |
|  |
| **Output 3: Kyrgyzstan has improved monitoring and oversight for NDS/SDG implementation.****Baseline:*** 1. The government introduced the NDS M&E system however it lacks financing strategy indicators.
	2. There are several types of parliamentary oversight in the country however none of them has links to the SDG agenda.
	3. There are several coordination mechanisms at different levels, but none of them is the dialogue platform to discuss NDS/SDG financing strategy.
	4. The current methodology of strategic planning for sustainable development approved by MoE says that that a Strategic document should take into account SDG progress reports. However, this provision does not have any details.

**Indicators:** * 1. Number of recommendations to integrate target indicators of the financing strategy in the NDS monitoring system.
	2. Number of people in capacity building activities and presence of a methodology to improve parliamentary, Chamber of Accounts (COA) and public finance management (PFM) oversight process based on NDS/SDG agenda.
	3. Presence of action plan on the platform.
	4. Presence of a report with recommendations, taking into account gender and social inclusion and climate aspects, to align national strategic planning and SDGs

**Targets:** * 1. Development of recommendations to integrate target indicators, including gender and social inclusion specific ones, of the financing strategy in the monitoring system of the NDS.
	2. Delivery of capacity and methodology to improve parliamentary, COA and public PFM oversight process based on NDS/SDG agenda
	3. A draft decision on the creation of the Platform is be prepared and proposals and an action plan are developed.
	4. Report with recommendations, taking into account gender and social inclusion and climate aspects, to align national strategic planning and SDGs is developed
 | **Activity Result 3.1** **Mechanisms for government monitoring based on NDS/SDGs are designed.** |
| Integrate financing strategy targets into the government NDS monitoring system. |  |  | X | X | Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Finance | UN SDG fundUNDP co-funding | International ICNational ICconsultations | 16,024 (UNDP)6,500 (UNDP co-funding) |
| **Activity Result 3.2 The parliamentary oversight and transparent outreach based on NDS/SDGs are designed.** |
| Integrate the NDS/SDG agenda/objectives into parliamentary and public oversight |  |  | X | X | The Office of the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic, Parliament, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Finance, Development Partners' Coordination Council, Chamber of Accounts | UN SDG fund | International ICNational ICConsultations, translation | 20,193 (UNDP)15,000 (UNICEF**)**  |
| **Activity Result 3.3 An integrated mechanism to develop and deliver NDS/SDG aligned strategies including financing strategy are designed.** |
| Support the establishment of a platform for policymakers and DPs on NDS/SDG financing strategy prioritisation. |  |  | X | X | The Office of the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Finance, Development Partners' Coordination Council | UN SDG fund |   | 16,600 (UNDP)10,000 (UNICEF) |
| Support the establishment of a national strategic NDS/SDG-aligned planning process, taking into account gender and social inclusion and climate aspects |  |  | X | X | UNDP co-funding |  | 12,500 (UNDP co-funding) |
| **TOTAL by OUTPUT 3** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **96,817**UN SDG fund 77,817 including UNDP: 52,817UNICEF: 25,000  UNDP co-funding: 19,000 |
|  |  | **TOTAL BY OUTPUTS 1,2 and 3** | **UN SDG fund: 381,630 (248,430 of UNDP and 133,200 of UNICEF)****UNDP co-funding: 40,000****UNICEF co-funding: 45,000**  |
|  |
| **Output 4. Project Management**  | **Activity Result 4.1 Smooth programme management** |
|  | Day-to-day management of the project from programme and admin-finance points (staff costs) | X | X | X | X |  | UN SDG fund | Staff salary (Programme Coordinator (100%), AFA (50%), IT (10%), Driver (15%). | 33,521(UNDP) |
|  | Contribute to smooth programme implementation | X | X | X | X |  | UN SDG fund | Office running costs (rent, utilities, supplies etc.) | 3,500(UNDP) |
|  | UNDP CO DPC and GMS UNICEF  | X | X | X | X |  | UN SDG fund | CO direct project costs- programme (TL, PA) and operations) | 3,377(UNDP DPC)21,268 (UNDP GMS)10,500(UNICEF) |
|  | Sub-total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **72,166**UNDP: 61,666UNICEF: 10,500 |
| **Activity Result 4.2. Monitoring, reporting and strategic communications (advocacy)** |
|  | M/E, Comms/Advocacy | X | X | X | X |  | UN SDG fundUNDP co-funding |  | 15,000 (UNDP)8,300 (UNICEF (20% NOA))  |
|  | Annual donor meeting |  |  |  | X |  | Meeting costs (venue, translation, travel etc.) | 10,000 (UNDP co funding) |
|  | Sub-total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **33,300**UNDP: 25,000 (15,000 SDG fund, 10,000 UNDP co-fundingUNICEF: 8,300 (SDG fund) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **TOTAL by OUTPUT 4** | **105,466****UN SDG fund 95,466****UNDP co-funding 10,000** |
| **TOTAL AWP**  |  **TOTAL 477,096** UNDP: 325,096UNICEF: 152,000UNDP co-funding: 50,000UNICEF co-funding: 45,000 |
| **July 1-Dec 31, 2020 budget of UNDP:****Jan 1 – June 31, 2021 budget of UNDP:** | **162, 548****162,548** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**July 1, 2020 -June 31,2021 MONITORING PLAN**

**Project Title: Kyrgyzstan Integrated National Financing Framework (K-INFF)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#**  | **MONITORING ACTION**   | **DUE DATE**  | **BUDGET IN USD**   | **DESCRIPTION**   | **COMMENTS**   | **DATE COMPLETED**   | **RESPONSIBLE PARTY**   |
| 1  | Monitoring of Project Risks  | QuarterlyOct 2020April 2021    | Joint programme allocated resources for monitoring and evaluation in the budget[[1]](#footnote-1)Embeddedinto project personnel work  | Will be monitored and tracked on a quarterly basis. Risks are identified by project management and actions are taken to manage risk. The risk log is actively maintained to keep track of identified risks and actions taken and may be availed as part of periodic reports to the donor  | Initial risk log will be elaborated and will be a basis for review and updates as well as adjustments to the project  | Conducted during project design stage and will continue on quarterly basis during the implementation | Project Coordinator and involved team  |
| 2  | Mid-term progress reviews | End of Year 1 | Embedded into project personnel work  | *Mid-term progress review report* to be submitted halfway through the implementation of Joint Programme[[2]](#footnote-2); | To be provided end of Year 1 of project implementation (July, 2021) | To be completed in July, 2021  | Project Coordinator and involved team  |
| 3.  | Annual Review and Narrative Progress reportsBi-lateral call with the Secretariat | End of calendar year | Embedded into project personnel work  | To be provided no later than. one (1) month (31 January) after the end of the calendar year, and must include the result matrix, updated risk log, and anticipated expenditures and results for the next 12-month funding period; | No later than one month after the end of the calendar year (by end of January 2021)Annual Review at the end of Year 1 in June 2021 | To be done | Project Coordinator and involved team   |
| 4 | Final consolidated narrative report and case studies | At the end of the project, June/July 2022 |  | After the completion of the joint programme, to be provided no later than two (2) months after the operational closure of the activities of the joint programme. | UNDP, as lead PUNO, will compile the narrative reports of PUNOs and submit a consolidated report to the Joint SDG Fund Secretariat, through the Resident Coordinator.  |  |  |
| 5.  | Regular Programme meetings   | Bi-Weekly  | Embedded into project personnel work  | To update the project progress and delivery   | Short brief updates on main activities   | Underway | Project Coordinator and involved team  |
|  | Progress data against the results indicators (Targets) in the Atlas Project Management System  | Annually  | Embedded into project personnel work  | The Steering Group will hold annually project review to assess the performance of the project and review the Annual Work Plan to ensure realistic budgeting over the life of the project. In the project’s final year, the Project Board shall hold an end-of project review to capture lessons learned and discuss opportunities  | Annual reports will be generated by December 2020  | To be held annually | Project Coordinator and involved team  |
| 6.  | A final, independent and gender-responsive[[3]](#footnote-3) evaluation will be organised by the Resident Coordinator. |  End of project | To be led by RCO | UNDP, as a lead PUNO, will cooperate with RCO on the final evaluation at the end of the project | After competition of a joint programmes, a final, independent and gender-responsive[[4]](#footnote-4) evaluation will be organised by the Resident Coordinator in collaboration with UNDP and UNICEF |  |  |

**Project Communications Plan**

*Project title*: **Kyrgyzstan Integrated National Financing Framework (K-INFF)**

*Dates*: July 1, 2020-June 31, 2021

*Geographic area*: The Kyrgyz Republic

*Stakeholders*: Office of the President, Office of the Government, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Economy, National Bank, DPCC

*Beneficiaries*: Public and private sector, academia and civil society organizations, women and men, young people, media

*Messages*: Effective public and private financing for sustainable development

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No | What | Who | When | Target audiences | Resources | Product (evaluation criteria) |
|  | Regular media coverage of the project events according to AWPs  | PC/ Comms Specialist/Consultant | Throughout a year | Expert community, national and international partners, general public, UN community | No costs  | At least 2 news releases, 4 articles and stories posted in mass media and 2 feature /success stories UNDP and partners’ social media accounts  |
|  | Hire Communication Consultant to develop and implement the JP Communication, Media and Advocacy strategy  | PC, Comms Specialist comms team/ Consultant in partnership with national partners  | Throughout a year | National partners, stakeholders, expert community, national and international partners, public in general | 10,000 | Communication, Media and Advocacy strategy of the JP |
|  | Two donor meetings annually (end of Year 1 and end of Year 2) | PC, Comms Specialist / Consultant in partnership with national partners, UNICEF and RCO Comms teams | Q 2 of 2021 and Q 2 of 2022 | National partners, funding partners, stakeholders, expert community, national and international partners, public in general | 10,000 | Donor meeting materials, outreach visuals (1 video, 1 newsletter, leaflets by project outputs etc.) |
|  | Joint work with the Comms team of the national partners, including Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Finance, Office of the President and UNICEF to support the Comms/Advocacy of the KR INNF highlights | PC, Comms Specialist / Consultant in partnership with national partners,  | Throughout the project implementation in 2020-2021 |  | 10,000 | Information materials at the website of the Ministry of Finance, UNDP KR, and media. At least one communication campaign with coverage in media (with at least 50 mentions in media) and online engagement of 100,000 users  |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL | 30,000 |  |

**United Nations Development Programme**

**Country: Kyrgyz Republic**

**Gender Mainstreaming Plan July 1, 2020- June 31,2021**

**title of the Project: Kyrgyzstan Integrated National Financing Framework (K-INFF)**

| **Expected Products** | **Key Activities** | **Quarters** | **Source of funding**  | **Budget** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **III** | **IV** | **I** | **II** |  |  |
| **Development of analytical, strategic and legal documents, which includes gender dimension (integration of gender perspectives in the TORs of experts, the conclusions and recommendations, gender disaggregated indicators, etc.)** |
| DFA reports covering gender gaps submitted to the governmentMethodology of SDG costing developed for one of the sectors, applying Gender tagging  | **Activity Result 1.1** State institutions have the capacity to align their sectoral budgets with MTFF/MTEF and NDS/SDGs through integrated gender sensitive budgeting. | X | X | X |  | UN SDG fund | 113,371 |
| Fiscal space analysis implemented done for at least one sector (considering gender inequalities and gender gaps and disability issues Tax incentives effectiveness report submitted to the government, taking into account gender and social inclusion issues | **Activity Result 1.2** State institutions have evidence on opportunities to mobilise resources for NDS/S  |  |  | X | X |  | 67,000 |
| Revenue and Expenditure Analysis through NDS/SDG lenses report submitted to the relevant fiscal authorities, taking into account gender, social inclusion (G&SI) and climate issuesRecommendation to integrate NDS/SDG targets and sector strategies, taking into account gender sensitive budget, social inclusion and climate, into MTEF and budget processes and documents submitted to the relevant authoritiesDGs. | **Activity Result 2.1** State institutions have the capacity to align their sectoral budgets with MTFF/MTEF and NDS/SDGs through integrated gender sensitive budgeting. |  |  | X | X | One UN SDG fundUNDP KR co-funding | 40,2837,500 |
| Capacity building training on financing development strategy methodology for relevant government officials provided, taking into account gender aspects, gender sensitive budgetingResearch and recommendations on enabling environment for innovative finance, taking into account gender | **Activity Result 2.2 State institutions have the capacity to formulate a financing strategy based on new financing modalities and aligned with NDS/SDGs.** |  |  | X | X |  | 64,444 |
| Development of recommendations to integrate target indicators, including gender and social inclusion specific ones, of the financing strategy in the monitoring system of the NDSReport with recommendations, taking into account gender and social inclusion and climate aspects, to align national strategic planning and SDGs is developed | **Activity Result 3.3 An integrated mechanism to develop and deliver NDS/SDG aligned strategies including financing strategy are designed.** |  |  | X | X | One UN SDG fundUNDP co-funding | 52,81719,000 |
| **Gender mainstreaming in actions for the implementation of pilot projects.****(Integration of gender perspectives: (i) in TOR activities and experts; (ii) gender balance in the composition of the beneficiaries, actors involved discussions, decision-making bodies; (iii) the reports and indicators, etc.)** |
| Monitoring is ensured taking into account gender aspects, gender-sensitive national communication and advocacy activities  | **Activity Result 4.2 Monitoring, reporting and strategic communications (advocacy), gender-sensitive** |  | X | X | X | UN SDG fundUNDP co-funding | 15,00010,000 |
| **TOTAL budget for gender** | **355,415** |
| **Total funded budget for the project**  | **375,096 (including****50,000 (UNDP co-funding)** |
| **% for gender**  |  **94,7%** |

1. M&E budget line is under M&E/Comms/Advocacy – 30,000 of UN SDG fund and 20,000 of UNDP co-funding, including two donor meetings will be held at the end of Year 1 and at the end of the project. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. This will be the basis for release of funding for the second year of implementation. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. [How to manage a gender responsive evaluation, Evaluation handbook](http://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2015/4/un-women-evaluation-handbook-how-to-manage-gender-responsive-evaluation), UN Women, 2015 [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. [How to manage a gender responsive evaluation, Evaluation handbook](http://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2015/4/un-women-evaluation-handbook-how-to-manage-gender-responsive-evaluation), UN Women, 2015 [↑](#footnote-ref-4)